

Table 1. Photostationary State Compositions of the C_{15} -aldehyde II by Direct Irradiation^a

Solvent	ϵ^c	Percentages of Isomers in Photostationary States ^b			
		all-trans	7-cis	7-cis,9-cis	9-cis
Hexane	1.88	22.6 ± .3	36.6 ± .4	24.7 ± 2.4	15.9 ± .2
Cyclohexane	2.02 ^d	22.4 ± .4	36.1 ± 1.7	24.1 ± 1.9	17.3 ± .3
Dioxane	2.21	23.3 ± .2	37.0 ± .4	21.9 ± .7	17.7 ± .2
Benzene	2.28	22.7 ± .3	35.2 ± 1.2	24.1 ± 1.0	18.1 ± .5
Chloroform	4.81 ^d	24.0 ± .4	33.0 ± 1.4	24.5 ± 1.6	17.6 ± .6
Methanol	32.70	23.0 ± .4	36.3 ± 1.2	25.6 ± .5	14.9 ± .2
Acetonitrile	37.5 ^d	22.6 ± .5	37.1 ± 1.3	22.8 ± 1.3	17.4 ± 1.5
DMSO	46.68	24.0 ± .4	37.8 ± 1.4	21.9 ± 1.6	16.4 ± .6

a. Hanovia medium pressure 200W mercury lamps with Corning 3-74 cut-off filter. Analyses by hplc with 340nm detecting beam. Data corrected for different absorptivities of isomers at the wavelength. b. Average of individual runs starting from pure isomers. c. Dielectric constants determined at 25°C, unless otherwise noted. From *Techniques of Chemistry*, A. Weissberger, Ed., II, Wiley, N.Y., 1970. d. 20°C.

Table 2. Photostationary State Compositions of the C_{18} -ketone in Various Solvents^a

Solvent	Percentages of Isomers in Photostationary States ^b				
	All-trans	7-cis	7-cis,9-cis	9-cis	11-cis & 9-cis,11-cis
Hexane	73.7 ± 1.2	6.5 ± .5	<1	11.0 ± .8	8.4 ± .4
Cyclohexane	72.9 ± 1.2	6.7 ± .6	<1	13.0 ± .8	6.3 ± .4
Benzene	67.8 ± .3	7.3 ± .4	<1	16.0 ± .7	7.9 ± .3
Chloroform	48.3 ± 2.4	12.5 ± .9	4.0 ± .3	25.1 ± 1.3	9.9 ± .8
Methanol	44.8 ± .5	15.2 ± .5	4.6 ± .2	23.5 ± .6	9.1 ± .3
Acetonitrile	60.7 ± 7.8	9.8 ± 2.4	3.2 ± .4	20.1 ± 2.9	6.1 ± 2.0
DMSO	54.9 ± 2.4	11.0 ± .9	3.7 ± .3	23.1 ± 1.3	7.1 ± .8

a. Hanovia medium pressure 200W mercury lamp with Corning 3-74 cut-off filter. Analyses by hplc with 340nm detecting beam. Data corrected for different absorptivities at the wavelength of detection. b. Average of runs starting from all-trans, 7-cis, 7-cis,9-cis and 9-cis isomers of the C_{18} -ketone.

earlier.^{5,6} The photostationary state ratios of the retinal isomers in two solvents are shown in Table 3. It is clear that the stationary state mixture of the trienals is virtually independent of the solvent employed. For the tetraene ketones the mixture shows some dependence on solvent polarity, though much less dramatic than is the case with retinal and other

Table 3. Photostationary State Compositions of Retinal^a

Solvent	Percentages of Isomers in Photostationary States ^b								
	All-t	7-cis	9-cis	11-cis	13-cis	7,9-c	7,13-c	9,13-c	9,11-c
Hexane	40	--	5.5	3.5	51	--	--	--	--
CH ₃ CN	31	4.5	23	29	9.2	.2	c	.7	2.1

a. Starting from all-trans only. Irradiated with $\geq 380\text{nm}$ (Corning 0-51 filter). Hplc analyses, 360nm detecting beam. b. Another weak peak of varying intensity which has the shortest retention time is probably that of 11-cis,13-cis. c. A weak shoulder in front of 13-cis.

derivatives.^{7,11} For retinal in polar solvents there is a clear tendency toward being less discriminate in product formation.

The explanation involving selective stabilization of different zwitterionic intermediates corresponding to twisting any of the four exocyclic double bonds, though successful in accounting for the results of retinal and related compounds, now fails to explain the complete lack of solvent effect for the C₁₅-aldehyde. The possibility of a dependence of relative importance of zwitterionic intermediates on chain length of a polyene is not justified on theoretical grounds.⁸ Also disturbing is the fact that several recent calculations showed that the dipolar character of the excited polyene becomes evident only when well along the course of the twisting motion (the sudden polarization effect).¹² This implies that solvent stabilization of the dipolar intermediate can take place only after the molecule has committed itself to a particular twisting motion and hence is of no consequence to the geometric isomerization reaction. The validity of this explanation is in doubt.

To account for the solvent effect on the intersystem crossing efficiency of retinal, Dawson and Abrahamson¹³ and Bensasson *et al.*¹⁴ invoked an explanation involving state switching between n, π^* (hexane) and π, π^* (methanol) states. More recently, Das and Becker¹⁵ argued from results of absorption and emission studies of the homologues of retinal that for the C₁₅-aldehyde and the lower member β -ionone the lowest excited state is of n, π^* nature regardless of the polarity of the solvent. In the C₁₈-ketone and retinal the lowest excited state could be either n, π^* or π, π^* depending on the solvent polarity. We now believe that the observed photochemistry of compounds in this series is consistent with this explanation. The solvent insensitive behavior of the C₁₅-aldehyde agrees with the involvement of only the n, π^* state in all solvents. The minor changing photochemical behavior for the C₁₈-ketone is due to approach of the π, π^* and the n, π^* states. In non-polar solvents the n, π^* character dominates; and in polar solvents, the π, π^* character begins to play a role. In the longer polyene retinal, there is a complete reversal of the reactive state in solvents of different polarity. The direction of isomerization can also be rationalized by the relative electron density of the pi-system for the n, π^* and π, π^* states. The n, π^* state (non-polar solvents) involving filled bonding orbitals of the pi-system should have higher bond orders. Such an excited molecule should be quite selective in reaction thus twisting only the tri-substituted double bonds giving the 9-cis and 13-cis isomers. The π, π^* state (polar solvents) on the other hand with a partially vacant bonding orbital should

have lower bond orders for all formal double bonds. Twisting around the four double bonds becomes indiscriminate giving all four isomers. Consistent with this explanation is the observation that diphenyl polyenes which do not have low lying n, π^* states do not show a large solvent dependence in their photoisomerization reactions.¹⁶ Also consistent are the directions of photoisomerization of methylated and demethylated retinals which selectively isomerize at the sterically more crowded double bonds when irradiated in hexane.^{17,18}

References and Footnotes

1. Photochemistry of polyenes 19. For previous paper in the series see: Y. C. C. Butt, A. K. Singh, B. H. Baretz and R. S. H. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **85**, 0000 (1981).
2. Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India.
3. R. Hubbard and G. Wald, *J. Gen. Physiol.*, **36**, 269 (1952-1953).
4. P. K. Brown and G. Wald, *J. Bull. Chem.*, **222**, 865 (1965).
5. M. Denny and R. S. H. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 4865 (1977).
6. K. Tsukida, A. Kodama and M. Ito, *J. Chromatography*, **134**, 331 (1977).
7. R. S. H. Liu, M. Denny, M. Grodowski and A. E. Asato, *Nouv. J. Chim.*, **3**, 503 (1979).
8. (a) L. Salem, *Science*, **191**, 822 (1976).
(b) C. E. Wulfman and S. Kumei, *Science*, **172**, 106 (1971).
9. (a) V. Ramamurthy, G. Tustin, C. C. Yau and R. S. H. Liu, *Tetrahedron*, **31**, 193 (1975).
(b) We have also found that the earlier conclusion [V. Ramamurthy and R. S. H. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 2935 (1976)] from nmr experiments that under photosensitized irradiation, the C₁₅-aldehyde only isomerized at the 9,10-position is incorrect. All four isomers have been detected by hplc.
10. (a) V. Ramamurthy and R. S. H. Liu, *Tetrahedron*, **31**, 201 (1975).
(b) A. Kini, H. Matsumoto and R. S. H. Liu, *Bioorg. Chem.*, **9**, 406 (1980).
(c) M. Mousseron-Canet and J. Olive, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, **7**, 3242 (1969).
11. R. S. H. Liu, A. E. Asato and M. Denny, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 8095 (1977).
12. V. Bonacic-Koutecky, P. Bruckman, P. Hiberty, J. Koutecky, C. Leforestier and L. Salem, *Ang. Chem. internat. Edit.*, **14**, 575 (1979).
13. W. Dawson and E. W. Abrahamson, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **66**, 2542 (1962).
14. R. Bensasson, E. J. Land and T. G. Truscott, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, **17**, 53 (1973).
15. P. K. Das and R. S. Becker, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **82**, 2081, 2093 (1978).
16. (a) "Cis-trans Isomeric Carotenoids, Vitamin A and Arylpolyenes," by L. Zechmeister, Academic Press, N. Y., 1962.
(b) B. Baretz, A. K. Singh and R. S. H. Liu, *Nouv. J. Chim.*, **5**, 000 (1981).
17. (a) W. H. Waddell, D. L. Hopkins, M. Uemura and J. L. West, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **100**, 1970 (1978).
(b) W. Gärtner, H. Hopf, W. E. Hull, D. Oesterhelt, D. Scheutzow and P. Towner, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 347 (1980).
18. The work was supported by grants from NSF (CHE-12686) and U.S. Public Health Services (AM-17806). All-trans-retinal was supplied by Hoffmann-La Roche & Co.

(Received in USA 12 March 1981)